UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDIA, Virginia 22313-1450
WWW.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO. PADE IN G.DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10/048.041 01/24/2002 Ernest Johann Fantner

AT000035 1139

Corporate Patent Counsel
Philips Electronics North America Corporation
580 White Plains Road

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE
03/31/2008 PAPER

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

3622

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Tarrytown, NY 10591-5198

Notice of Abandonment    10/048,041   FANTNER, ERNEST JOHANN   FANTNER, ERNEST JOHANN   Art Unit   Examiner   Art Unit   Art Unit		Application No.	Applicant(s)	:
Examiner   Art Unit   Jeffrey D. Carlson   3622   - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address- This application is abaindoned in view of:    □ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 24 September 2007.     □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension) of time of month(s)) which expired on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Robics of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).	Notice of Abandonment	10/048.041	FANTNER ERNES	NAHÖL T
This application is abandoned in view of:				
This application is abandoned in view of:	410	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622	
This application is abandoned in view of:    Mapplicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 24 September 2007.				ss
1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 24 <u>September 2007</u> .  (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period of reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.55(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ☒ No reply has been received.  2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTCL-85).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTCL-85).  (b) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ C ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18(a), is \$ C ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ C ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ C ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ C ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ C ☐ The issue fee and publicatio	H.	, .	•	5.11
(a) _ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)), which expirate on (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ☑ No reply has been received.  2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three moinths from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated, which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (C) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3 ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of th	This application is abandoned in view of.			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a limely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal rep); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ☒ No reply has been received.  2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.         The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (in the issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowabilty (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawin	(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the control	of Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expire	d), which is after the expred on	1.3
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) No reply has been received.  2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The (sque fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated is which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (c) Th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,	t
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) No reply has been received.  2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  7. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking count review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-rhaited twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applica	application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fi	iled Notice of Appeal (with appe		
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (C) The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  Primary Examiner, Art Unit 36	(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not cons final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (Se	stitute a proper reply, or a bona see explanation in box 7 below).	fide attempt at a proper reply, t	o the non-
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-95).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated); which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  (d) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  (c) The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  (c) The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  (a) The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  (b) The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-rhailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Mainon's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  (Figure D. Carlson/Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622	(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			<b>f</b> .
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3	Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTO)	and publication fee, if applicable	e, within the statutory period of	three months
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  //Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622	(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, v	vas received on (with a	Certificate of Mailing or Trans e fee (and publication fee) set in	mission dated the Notice of
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☒ The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  //Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622	(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala	nce of \$ is due.	•	
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  //Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	. The publication fee, if require	ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	•
Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  //Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622	(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.		* <b>\$</b> .
after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  //Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622	Allowability (PTO-37).			
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  //Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622	<ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing	g or Transmission dated)	, which is
the applicants.  The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  /Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622	(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
the applicants.  The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  /Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622				
1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  //Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622	<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.</li> </ol>	the attorney or agent of record	the assignee of the entire inter	est, or all of
<ul> <li>6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.</li> <li>7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  /Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622</li> <li>Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to</li> </ul>	5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by	an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity under	37 CFR
<ul> <li>6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.</li> <li>7. ☑ The reason(s) below:         The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.     </li> <li></li></ul>				H : 15
The office action originally mailed 7/6/07 was returned by the USPS as undeliverable. The action then was re-mailed twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  /Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to	<ol><li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inter</li></ol>	ference rendered on and laims.	because the period for seeking	g court review
twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to Michael Marion's office (reg# 32266) at (914)333-9641 indicated that applicant would not be responding to the action mailed on 9/24/07.  /Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to	7. X The reason(s) below:	•		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to	twice, most recently on 9/24/07. A phone call to l	Michael Marion's office (reg#	iverable. The action then wa # 32266) at (914)333-9641 ir	as re-mailed ndicated that
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622  Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to		/Jeffrey D. Carlsor	<b>v</b>	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to	en de la differente de la companya d			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				
	Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with	draw the holding of abandonment of	under 37 CFR 1.181, should be pro	mptly filed to

Organization Bldg/Room UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Jerranys

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Official Business

SEP 2.2 2008 1.1.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

\$00,42° CITE COM DIO

Hasler

Willed From 22314 US POSTAGE

다 대 다 1.06 世界×H Z

74 09/20/20

NOT DELIVERABLE AS AUDRESSED UNABLE TO FORGARD

\*0217-02871-15-08 BC: 22319145050

table hallow Hollow hall be hallowed as

TOROT SPARSSOUTED